KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California SALLY MAGNAMI Senior Deputy Attorney General OLIVIA W. KARLIN Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 150432 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-0473 Fax: (213) 897-2802 E-mail: Olivia.Karlin@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel., CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER MAY 2 0 2011

ALAN CARLSON, Clerk of the Court

NEwad

BY N QUACH

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel., CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL,

Case No. 30-2008-00107995-CU-MC-CJC

Plaintiff,

[PROPOSED] AMENDMENT TO FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION PURSUANT TO STIPULATION; EXHIBIT

ROOKE CORP., a California Corporation, dba Aviation Equipment Inc.; AVIATION EQUIPMENT STRUCTURES, INC., a California Corporation, DOES 1-to 20,

(Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6)

Defendants.

Plaintiff the People of the State of California ex rel., the Department of Toxic Substances Control ("Plaintiff" or the "Department") and Defendant Rooke Corp., dba Aviation Equipment Inc., and Aviation Equipment Structures, Inc. ("Defendants") having consented to the entry of this Amendment to Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction Pursuant to Stipulation ("Amendment to Final Judgment") prior to the taking of any proof and without a trial or adjudication of any fact or law herein, and

2728

25

The Court having considered the pleadings, which consist of the Complaint, the Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction Pursuant to Stipulation ("Final Judgment") from 2008, the parties' Stipulation for Entry of Amendment to Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction, and the Proposed Amendment to Final Judgment; and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Jurisdiction exists over this matter pursuant to Health & Safety Code sections 25181, 25189, and 25189.2 and pursuant to the Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction Pursuant to Stipulation. Venue is proper pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 25183.

APPLICABILITY

2. The provisions of the Amendment to the Final Judgment, and the underlying Stipulation for Entry of Amendment to Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction (which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and incorporated by reference), shall apply to and be binding on Defendants, their subsidiaries and divisions, their parent companies, their officers and directors, their successors and assignees, and all persons, partners, corporations and successors thereto, or other entities, acting by, through, under, or on behalf of Defendants, and upon Plaintiff and any successor agency of Plaintiff that may have responsibility for and jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Final Judgment. The provisions of the Amendment to the Final Judgment, and the underlying Stipulation for Entry of Amendment to Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction shall not apply to and be binding on William Rooke, in his capacity as an individual with the exception of injunctive provision 5 of this Amendment to the Final Judgment, and paragraph 10 of the Stipulation, which shall apply to William Rooke in his capacity as an individual. This Amendment to Final Judgment shall in no way impair the force or effect of, or change the Department's right(s) to enforce the original Final Judgment, entered June 17, 2008, in this matter. The Amendment to Final Judgment is in addition to the Final Judgment.

25

26

27

3 4

5 6

7 8

10

9

12

13

11

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

INJUNCTION

- The Enjoined Parties are Defendants, their subsidiaries and divisions, their parent 3. companies, their officers and directors, their successors and assignees, and all persons, partners, corporations and successors thereto, or other entities, acting by, through, under, or on behalf of Defendants pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25181 and 25184. The Enjoined Parties shall comply with the injunctive provisions, as set forth below.
- Defendants have declared their intent to cease operations of Rooke Corporation and Aviation Equipment Structures by mid-2011, and therefore will no longer conduct business activities relating to aviation equipment manufacturing, refurbishing, or repair. Such activities have involved the management and/or handling of hazardous waste. However, should either Rooke Corporation or Aviation Equipment Structures conduct or resume hazardous waste operations, each shall comply with all of the injunctive provisions set forth below.
- Properly dispose of containers of DOO7 chromium paint waste as RCRA hazardous waste, as required by California Code of Regulations, tit. 22 § 66261.100(b), and segregate empty paint containers that are not RCRA waste.
- Within six months of entry of this Amendment to Final Judgment, Defendants shall submit documentation from the California Compliance School that all facility personnel with hazardous waste responsibilities have passed all the tests for the Hazardous Waste Generator Training, Modules I, II, III and IV (Modules 1-IV). Defendants shall arrange for all facility personnel with hazardous waste responsibilities to take these tests within three months of entry of this Amendment to Final Judgment. Any employee failing to pass any single test shall attend the California Compliance School, and submit to the Department a certificate verifying successful completion for Modules I-IV prior to handling hazardous waste, within six months of entry of this Amendment to Judgment. Effective six months from entry of this Amendment to Final Judgment, any employee who has not successfully completed all tests for all four modules shall be prohibited from any hazardous waste responsibilities without first passing all four tests.
- During the period of time beginning on the effective date of this Amendment to Final Judgment and continuing uninterrupted thereafter for five years, William Rooke agrees that

he will not hold a position in which he would have the responsibility to control, oversee, or direct any "hazardous waste management," as defined in Health and Safety Code section 25117.2. Notwithstanding the foregoing, this Amendment to Final Judgment shall not be interpreted to prohibit William Rooke from being employed for wages by a facility that generates hazardous waste, provided that his job duties do not include the responsibility to control, oversee, or direct "hazardous waste management," as defined in Health and Safety Code section 25117:2, at that facility. The restrictions contained in this paragraph do not apply to any work conducted by William Rooke in compliance with the injunctive provision of the Amendment to Final Judgment or the Final Judgment.

(b) During the period of time beginning on the effective date of this Amendment to Final Judgment and continuing uninterrupted thereafter for five years, the enjoined parties agree not to employ William Kirshenbaum in a position in which he would have the responsibility to control, oversee, or direct any "hazardous waste management," as defined in Health and Safety Code section 25117.2. Additionally, the enjoined parties agree not to employ William Kirshenbaum in any position in which he would have the responsibility to control, oversee or direct any "hazardous waste management," as defined in Health and Safety Code section 25117.2.

MONETARY SETTLEMENT REQUIREMENTS

- 6. (a) Defendants agree that the Final Judgment would allow and that a Court may issue a judgment for \$114,000 in civil penalties plus the interest applicable to civil judgments on the first \$110,000 of that amount (the unpaid balance of the Final Judgment) as a result of Defendants' violations of the Final Judgment.
- (b) According to the Final Judgment, the \$170,000 penalty would be satisfied if Defendants abided by the terms of the Final Judgment and paid \$75,000 to the Department in installment payments. Defendants have paid \$60,000 of the \$75,000. Defendants have failed to abide by the monetary and injunctive provisions of the Final Judgment; therefore, the remainder of the \$170,000 plus interest is now due. As of May, 2011, the interest amounts to approximately \$15,587.

///

- (c) Additionally, Defendants agree that they also owe \$4000 in civil penalties as a result of Defendants' more recent violation of California Code of Regulations, tit. 22 § 66261.100(b) for Defendants' failure to segregate empty paint containers that are non-RCRA waste.
- (d) Defendants have represented in a confidential declaration of present financial status, and they also certify by signing below, that they do not have the financial resources to pay the full penalty owed in this matter. The confidential financial declaration shall not be a public document, but may be produced confidentially to an investigative agency or upon court order. Such disclosure does not make this declaration a public record. In reliance on Defendants' representations in the confidential declaration and William Rooke's certification below, the Department agrees that, provided Defendants fully comply with all of the injunctive provisions specified in the Final Judgment and in the Amendment to Final Judgment, and with the monetary requirements specified in paragraph 8, below of this Amendment to Final Judgment, the full civil penalty portion of the Final Judgment and Amendment to Final Judgment will be satisfied.
- 7. (a) Within 30 days of the entry of this Amendment to Final Judgment, Defendants shall pay the Department \$19,000.00.
- (b) In the event Defendants fail to make the payment specified in 8(a) above, the full amount of civil penalties, \$114,000, plus the interest applicable to civil judgments on the first \$110,000 of that amount, is immediately due and payable to the Department.
- 8. (a) Any payment under the Amendment to Final Judgment shall be made by cashier's check, payable to the California Department of Toxic Substances Control, and mailed to:

Cashier
Accounting Office, MS-21A
Department of Toxic Substances Control
P.O. Box 806
Sacramento, CA 95812-0806.

The check(s) shall bear on their face the phrase "DTSC # HWCA 20061136."

///

///

1	(b) A photocopy (or PDF copy by e-mail) of all checks and payments made
2	pursuant to the Amendment to Final Judgment shall be sent, at the same time, to:
3	Charles A. McLaughlin, Performance Manager State Oversight and Enforcement Branch
5	Enforcement and Emergency Response Program Department of Toxic Substances Control
6	8800 Cal Center Drive Sacramento, CA 95826-3200 cmclaughlin@dtsc.ca.gov
7	
8	Vivian Murai, Senior Staff Counsel Office of Legal Counsel, MS-23A Department of Toxic Substances Control
9	1001 I Street P.O. Box 806
10	Sacramento, CA 95812-0806 vmurai@dtsc.ca.gov
11	and to
12	
13	Olivia W. Karlin
14	Deputy Attorney General Attorney General's Office
15	300 South Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90013
16	
17	(c) If Defendants fail to make payment as provided above, Defendants are liable
18	for post judgment interest as provided in Code of Civil Procedure 685.010 (which are 10%) and
19	are obligated to pay all costs incurred by DTSC in enforcing the judgment in this matter,
20	including, but not limited to attorney's fees.
21	OTHER PROVISIONS
22	9. Retention of Jurisdiction.
23	The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Final Judgment and
24	Amendment to Final Judgment.
25	10. Enforcement of Judgment.
26	Any party may, by motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of
27	Orange, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Amendment to Final Judgment and/or
28	Final Judgment. Where a failure to comply with this Amendment to Final Judgment and/or Final
`	

1	Judgment constitutes future violations of the HWCL, or other laws independent of this Final
2	Judgment and/or alleged in the Complaint, Plaintiff is not limited to enforcement of this
3	Amendment to Final Judgment and/or Final Judgment, but may seek in another action, subject to
4	satisfaction of any procedural requirements, including notice requirements, whatever fines, costs
5:	fees, penalties, or remedies are provided by law for failure to comply with the HWCL or other
6	laws.
7	11. Modification.
8	This Amendment to Final Judgment and Final Judgment may be modified by express
9	written agreement of the parties, with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court in
10	accordance with law.
11	12. Entry of Judgment.
12	The Clerk of the Court is ordered to enter this Amendment to Final Judgment
13	immediately, and to provide the parties with notice of entry of judgment within ten (10) days of
14	the entry of this Amendment to Final Judgment.
15	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED.
17	Dated: 5/20/201/ STEVEN L. PERK
18	Judge of the Superior Court
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

EXHIBIT

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

26

27

28

.012 1329

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California JANET GAARD, Chief Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX, Senior Assistant Attorney General

DONALD ROBINSON

Supervising Deputy Attorney General JAMES R. POTTER, State Bar No. 166992 OLIVIA W. KARLIN, State Bar No. 150432

Deputy Attorneys General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013

Telephone: (213) 897-0473

Fax: (213) 897-2802

Attorneys for Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel. MAUREEN GORSEN, DIRECTOR, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL

CENTRAL RIGHCE CENTER

JUN 1 / 2008

ALAN SLATER, Clerk of the Court BY C. FARRAS

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel. MAUREEN GORSEN, Director, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL,

Plaintiff.

ROOKE CORP., a California Corporation, dba Aviation Equipment Inc.; AVIATION EQUIPMENT STRUCTURES, INC., a California Corporation, DOES 1 to 20,

Defendants.

30-2008

Case No.: 0 0 1 07 9 9 5

STIPULATION FOR SETTER FINE AND ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

JUDGE STEVEN L. PERK DEPT. C32

Plaintiff, People of the State of California, ex rel. Maureen Gorsen, Director, Department of Toxic Substances Control ("the Department"), and Defendants Rooke Corp., a California Corporation, dba Aviation Equipment, Inc., and Aviation Equipment Structures, Inc.

STIPULATION FOR SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

.

22.

(collectively "Aviation"), enter into this Stipulation for Settlement and Entry of Judgment and Permanent Injunction ("Stipulation") and stipulate as follows:

1. THE COMPLAINT

Concurrently with this Stipulation, the Department filed a Complaint for Civil Penalties and Injunctive Relief pursuant to the California Hazardous Waste Control Law, Health and Safety Code section 25100 et seq. and its associated regulations ("HWCL") against Aviation as an owner, operator and generator of the facility at 1571 Macarthur Blvd., Costa Mesa, California (the "Facility").

2. JURISDICTION AND VENÚE

The Department and Aviation agree this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the matters alleged in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Aviation. Venue is proper pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25183.

3. APPLICABILITY

The provisions of the Judgment and Permanent Injunction Pursuant to Stipulation ("Judgment") (which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference) and this underlying Stipulation shall apply to and be binding upon Aviation, its subsidiaries and divisions, its parent companies, its officers and directors, its successors and assignees, or other entities, acting by, through, under or on behalf of Aviation, and upon the Department and any successor agency of the Department that may have responsibility for and jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Judgment.

4. ADMISSIONS AND ALLEGATIONS

- A. Aviation began taking steps to correct the violations alleged in the Complaint upon receipt of the Department's Summary of Violations. Prior to receiving notification that the Department would take judicial action against Aviation, Aviation invested, and continues to invest, significant funds to comply with the HWCL.
- B. Aviation admits the violations alleged in the Complaint but disagrees with some of the allegations, and comments on others, as set forth below. By signing below, Aviation represents as follows:

- (1) Paragraph 24 of the Complaint alleges: "Prior thereto, on or about January 11. 2001, Aviation Equipment Structures entered an administrative Stipulation and Order resolving an HWCL violation at the Facility with the Department. The Stipulation and Order acknowledged Aviation Equipment Structures' submission of a Phase I environmental assessment to correct the cited violation and required it to pay \$4,000 in administrative costs. The Order named Aviation Equipment Structures, Inc. as a respondent." AVIATION'S RESPONSE: This allegation is incorrect and should be deleted in its entirety. This was not a violation and that stipulation states that the matter is being disputed but is being settled so that each party can avoid the expense of litigation. The matter involved a permit issued to a previous company operating at that location and Aviation's operations did not require such a permit.
- (2) Paragraph 28 of the Complaint alleges: "The Department observed the Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets in three locations: (1) at least a dozen blankets were stored in cardboard boxes outside of and behind the Facility, loosely covered by an unsecured tarp; (2) two or three blankets were stacked on top of each other, with the bottom blanket in direct contact with the outside pavement, and between two cardboard boxes; and (3) two blankets were resting in a container outside the Facility near a paint spray booth. Health and Safety Code sections 25189.2(c) and 25201 prohibit the unauthorized disposal of hazardous waste as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 22, § 66260.10." AVIATION'S RESPONSE: The first sentence of the allegation should read: "The Department observed the Filter Blankets in three locations: (1) stored in cardboard boxes provided by Aviation's licensed hazardous waste transporter outside of and behind the Facility, these cardboard boxes were placed on pallets and covered by a tarp (the tarp was secured by large wood studs); (2) two blankets were resting directly on the pallet adjacent to the cardboard boxes; (3) two blankets were resting in a container outside the Facility near a paint spray booth." As the Department alleges in the

<u> 0121329</u>

Complaint, many inspections of the facility have taken place over the years. No inspector has ever said that either the method or place of the storage of the Filter Blankets in the cardboard boxes fails to comply with applicable law.

- (3) Paragraph 30 of the Complaint alleges: "The Facility's mismanagement of the Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets allowed the wind dispersal of hazardous waste during the March 14, 2006 inspection which potentially may have emitted hazardous waste into the air or otherwise into the environment and may have caused individuals to be exposed to hazardous waste." AVIATION'S RESPONSE: Aviation denies this allegation.
- (4) Paragraph 36 of the Complaint alleges: "As described in paragraph 28 above, the Defendants held Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets at the Facility in unmarked, open containers in violation of the above regulations." AVIATION'S RESPONSE: This allegation should state that some of the blankets were in unmarked, open containers.
- (5) Paragraph 37 of the Complaint alleges: "The Defendants' management of the Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets at the Facility violated numerous provisions of the HWCL, including without limitation California Code of Regulations, title 22, §§ 66262.34(f) and 66265.173. Violation of each provision is a separate violation, subject to penalty under Health and Safety code § 25189 or § 25189.2." AVIATION'S RESPONSE: This allegation should state that some of the blankets were mismanaged.
- C. Plaintiffs allegations are based on DTSC Senior Hazardous Substances Scientist Rita Hypnarowski's observations, during her joint inspection with Orange County Health Care Agency staff on March 14, 2006, as memorialized in her report dated March 24, 2006, and further information learned prior to resolution of this matter.
 - 5. WAIVER OF HEARING AND TRIAL AND ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

 By signing and entering into this Stipulation, Aviation waives its right to a hearing and/or

trial under the HWCL on the alleged violations in the Complaint. Further, Aviation and the Department request this Court to enter judgment in the form and substance set forth in the attached Judgment and Permanent Injunction Pursuant to Stipulation.

6. INJUNCTION

Aviation corrected the violations alleged in the Complaint on or about, but no later than, June 19, 2006. The Enjoined Parties, which include Aviation, its subsidiaries and divisions, its officers and directors, its agents, employees, contractors, consultants, successors, assignees, and representatives, and all persons, partners, corporations and successors thereto, or other entities, acting by, through, under, or on behalf of Aviation, pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25181 and 25184, shall do the following:

A. Training. Aviation shall ensure that all facility personnel, as defined in California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66260.10, receive annual training and review of requirements specified by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265.16. In addition, within six months of entry of this Judgment Aviation shall submit documentation from the California Compliance School that all facility personnel with hazardous waste responsibilities have passed all the tests for the Hazardous Waste Generator Training, Modules I, II, III and IV (Modules 1-IV). Aviation shall arrange for all of Aviation's facility personnel with hazardous waste responsibilities to take these tests within three months of entry of this Judgment. Any employee failing to pass any single test shall attend the California Compliance School, and submit to DTSC a certificate verifying successful completion for Modules I-IV prior to handling hazardous waste, within six months of entry of this Judgment. Effective six months from entry of this Judgment, any employee who has not successfully completed all tests for all four modules shall be prohibited from any hazardous waste responsibilities without first passing all four tests.

B. Sampling of Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets. Aviation will handle all Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets as hazardous waste. Prior to handling any of these blankets in a manner other than as hazardous waste, Aviation shall obtain a hazardous waste analysis for one or more Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets and update its hazardous waste determination

L0121329

26.

appropriately to ensure proper waste classification and handling. The sampling shall be conducted in accordance with *California Code of Regulations*, title 22, section 66261.24, and Title 22, California Code of Regulations, Division 4.5, chapter 11, Appendix I and Appendix II. At the time of the sampling, if Aviation continues to use chromium-containing paint, at least one of the Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets analyzed shall have been used during chromium-containing paint filtration until such time that the Hazardous Waste Filter Blanket reached saturation and/or required replacement.

- C. Log. Aviation shall keep a log of Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets it removes from its paint spray booth used to apply chromium-containing paint. Such log shall indicate the day the blanket was removed and whether a chromium-containing paint was used while the blanket was in place. If a chromium-containing paint was used while the blanket was in place, the log shall also identify the hazardous waste generator storage area to which Aviation took the Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets, as well as the manifest number for the shipment of the waste offsite, and the date of that shipment.
- D. Container Storage Areas. Aviation shall continue to designate one or more areas within its Facility as hazardous waste storage areas in compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 22, § 66264.31. The boundaries of these storage areas shall be clearly delineated, in compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 22, § 66265.35. Aviation shall not place or store any hazardous waste at the Facility anywhere outside these storage areas. as stated in Aviation's contingency plan, and in compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 22, § 66265.51 (b).
- E. Inspections. Aviation shall allow the Department or local Certified Unified Program Agency to inspect the Facility at any time during normal business hours without a warrant under Health & Safety Code § 25185 subd. (a). This requirement shall be in effect for a period of three years from the date of entry of this Judgment.

7. MONETARY SETTLEMENT REQUIREMENTS

A. Subject to the terms of this Stipulation, Aviation agrees that the Court may issue judgment for a civil penalty in the amount of one hundred seventy thousand dollars

An electronic (i.e., Adobe PDF) copy or paper photocopy of all checks and payments made pursuant to the Judgment shall be sent, at the same time, to:

Charles A. McLaughlin, Chief
State Oversight and Enforcement Branch
Department of Toxic Substances Control
8800 Cal Center Drive
Sacramento, California 95826-3200

L012 329

7

STIPULATION FOR SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT
INJUNCTION

27

		A 1.	
CAACL	aughlin.	(a)dtec	CA GOV
C. ITIUL.	16665711111	(LD, CLLSC	. <u>ou.zov</u>

Vivian Murai, Senior Staff Counsel
Office of Legal Counsel
Department of Toxic Substances Control
1001 I Street, MS-23A
P.O Box 806
Sacramento, California 95812-0806
VMurai@dtsc.ca.gov

and to

Olivia Karlin
Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice
300 South Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90013
olivia.karlin@doj.ca.gov

8. SATISFACTION OF CIVIL PENALTY PAYMENT REQUIREMENT

A. In the event Aviation complies with the terms contained in the parties' Stipulation and the terms of the Judgment attached hereto, including, but not limited to making the payments as required by Section 7(B) of this Stipulation, the full civil penalty shall be satisfied. Aviation shall not be relieved of any other obligation arising under the parties' Stipulation or this Judgment. The payment requirements of this section shall expire three years from the date of entry of this Stipulation.

B. In the event Aviation violates any provision of the HWCL (with the exception of a minor violation of the HWCL, as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 22 section 66272.66, Health and Safety Code section 25117.6, and Health and Safety Code section 25187.8(g)), or fails to make a payment as required by the parties' Stipulation and Judgment, the full amount of civil penalties, one hundred seventy thousand dollars (\$170,000), plus accrued and unpaid interest on unpaid installments as provided in Section 7(B) of this Stipulation, minus any payments already made to the Department pursuant to this Judgment shall be immediately due and payable to the Department. If the Department determines that Aviation has defaulted under the terms of the parties' Stipulation or the terms of this Judgment, the Department will provide Aviation with written notice of the default. Such written notice constitutes Aviation's notice of its reasonable opportunity to cure the default on the terms as

L0121329

required by the Department. If Aviation fails to cure the default as required within thirty days of notice, the Department may proceed to pursue all rights and remedies to enforce this Judgment against Aviation, in addition to any applicable penalties for any new violation.

C. For purposes of this section, Aviation shall not have violated the HWCL or the injunctive provisions of Section 6 above unless one of the following occurs: Aviation notifies the Department in writing that it will not contest an alleged violation of one of the injunctive provisions; a court of law issues a judgment finding a violation of one of the injunctive provisions; or either the DTSC Director or an administrative law judge issues a decision finding a violation of one of the injunctive provisions.

9. ENFORCEMENT OF THIS STIPULATION AND JUDGMENT

- A. Aviation shall promptly, and no later than twenty-four hours after discovery, notify the Department in writing in the event of any significant noncompliance with the terms of this Stipulation. For purposes of this Stipulation and Judgment, failure to comply with this paragraph shall not be deemed an independent violation of this Stipulation.
- B. The Department will notify Aviation at least two weeks before commencing any action to enforce the injunctive provisions of the Stipulation. Upon a request by Aviation within that two week period, the Department will make appropriate staff persons available to meet with representatives of Aviation within a reasonable time of receiving Aviation's request. Provided the meeting takes place within a reasonable time (unless the failure to meet is due solely to the Department's delay), the Department will not commence the enforcement action until the meeting has taken place. For the purposes of this paragraph, "action to enforce the injunctive provisions of the Stipulation" is limited to issuance of an enforcement order for penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25187, the filing of a civil complaint, or the commencement of a contempt action. This paragraph shall not prevent the Department or any government official from taking any action the Department or official deems necessary to prevent an immediate hazard to public health or the environment. This paragraph shall not create a right of action against the Department or any government official, nor create any defense to any enforcement action brought by the Department or any other government agency.

STIPULATION FOR SETTLEMENT AND ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

.0121329

Walter Lipsman, Esq. Morris Polich and Purdy 1055 W. 7th St., Suite 2400 Los Angeles, CA 90017

- B. All approvals and decisions of the Department regarding any matter requiring approval or decision under the terms of this Stipulation shall be communicated to Aviation in writing by Charles A. McLaughlin or his successor or designee. No advice, guidance, suggestions, or comments by employees or officials of the Department regarding submittals or notices shall be construed to relieve Aviation of its obligations under this Stipulation, except as specified herein.
- C. The Department will timely respond to all submissions required by this Stipulation. This paragraph shall not create a right of action against the Department or any government official, nor create any defense to any enforcement action brought by the Department or any other government agency.

11. DEPARTMENT NOT LIABLE

- A. The Department shall not be liable for any injury or damage to persons or property resulting from acts or omissions by Aviation, its officers, employees, agents, or representatives in carrying out obligations pursuant to this Stipulation, nor shall the Department be held as a party to or guarantor of any contract entered into by Aviation, its employees, agents, or representatives in carrying out obligations required pursuant to this Stipulation.
- B. Aviation releases the Department and the Office of the Attorney General, and their employees, representatives and agents from any and all liability, in their official or personal capacity, arising from or relating to this litigation or any inspection, enforcement or permitting activity, or other regulatory action occurring up to the date of the execution of this Stipulation. Aviation further covenants not to sue or assert any claims or causes of action against the Department or the Office of the Attorney General, or their officers, employees, agents, or representatives in their official or personal capacities arising from or relating to this litigation or any inspection, enforcement or permitting activity, or other regulatory action occurring up to the date of the execution of this Stipulation.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

AUTHORITY TO ENTER STIPULATION 12.

Each signatory to this Stipulation certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Stipulation, to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

The Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the provisions of this Stipulation and Judgment.

14. ACCESS

Nothing in this Judgment is intended to limit in any way the right of entry or inspection that the Department or any other agency may otherwise have by operation of any law.

15. SAMPLING, DATA AND DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY

On reasonable notice, Aviation shall permit the Department or its authorized representatives to inspect and copy all sampling, testing, monitoring, and other data generated by Aviation or on Aviation's behalf in any way pertaining to the Department's regulatory authority under the Health & Safety Code. Retention times for the above records, and extensions thereof. shall be as specified in the applicable statutes and regulations.

16. COUNTERPARTS

This Stipulation may be executed in any number of counterparts, all of which taken together shall constitute an integrated document.

17. EFFECTIVE DATE

The Effective Date of this Stipulation is the date the Judgment is entered by the Court.

18. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Stipulation sets forth the entire agreement between the Department and Aviation regarding the subject matter hereof. This Stipulation may only be amended by a written agreement signed on behalf of the Department and Aviation.

19. ENTRY OF JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO STIPULATION

The parties further stipulate that upon approval of this Stipulation by the Court, the Court shall enter the Judgment in this matter in the form set forth in the attached Judgment, herein.

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	,
2		For the Department:
3 4 5 6	Dated June	By: Charles A. McLaughlin, Chief State Oversight and Enforcement Branch Department of Toxic Substances Control
7		
8		For Aviation:
9		ROOKE CORP. dba Aviation Equipment, Inc.
10 11	Dated June <u>977</u> , 2008	By: William Rooke, President
12		AVIATION EQUIPMENT STRUCTURES, INC.
13	10 TH	Million of Park
14	Dated June 10 7 , 2008	By: William Rooke, President
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
2 4 25		
25 26		
27		·
28		
	L0121329	13
		AND ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT

INJUNCTION

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
2		
3		
4		
5		EDMUND G. BROWN, JR. Attorney General of the State of California
6		JANET GAARD,
7		Chief Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX,
8		Senior Assistant Attorney General DONALD ROBINSON,
9		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
10		
11		
12		
13	Dated June 2, 2008	By: Olia W. Karlin
14	Dated June 2, 2008	OLIVIA W. KARLIN
15		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
16		
17		·
18		MORRIS POLICH & PURDY LLP
19		_ 1
20	Dated June	By: Wala /
21	Dated June, 2008	WALTER J. LIPSMAN
22		Attorneys for Aviation
23		
24		
25	-	
26		
27		
28	·	
	L0121329	14
}	STIPULATION FOR SETTLEMENT	AND ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

		•
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES
2	of the State of California JANET GAARD,	GOVERNMENT CODE § 6103
3	Chief Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX,	The state of the s
4	Senior Assistant Attorney General DONALD ROBINSON	ANTEORMA
5	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	www.
6	JAMES R. POTTER, State Bar No. 166992 OLIVIA W. KARLIN, State Bar No. 150432	ALAN SUNIER, Chark of the Court
7	Deputy Attorneys General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702	BY Q FINE COURT
8	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-0473	
9	Fax: (213) 897-2802	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	
11	CALIFORNIA, ex rel. MAUREEN GORSEN, DIRECTOR, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	
12	TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL	
13		
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STA	TE OF CALIFORNIA
15	FOR THE COUNTY O	F ORANGE
16		
17	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex	Case No.:
18	rel. MAUREEN GORSEN, Director, CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC	STIPULATION FOR
19	SUBSTANCES CONTROL,	SETTLEMENT AND
20	Plaintiff,	ENTRY OF JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION
21	v.	TERMANENT INJUNCTION
22	ROOKE CORP., a California Corporation, dba Aviation Equipment Inc.; AVIATION	
23	EQUIPMENT STRUCTURES, INC., a California Corporation, DOES 1 to 20,	
24	Defendants.	
25		_
26	Plaintiff, People of the State of California presen	nted and filed with the Court a written
27	Stipulation for Settlement and Entry of Judgment and P	ermanent Injunction (the "Stipulation,"
~ ~		
28	which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference)	entered by Plaintiff and Defendants

15. JUDGMENT

28

Rooke Corporation, a California Corporation, dba Aviation Equipment Inc., Aviation Equipment Structures, Inc. (collectively, "Aviation.") The Court, having reviewed the Stipulation and the other pleadings and records on file, finds that jurisdiction exists over this matter pursuant to Health and Safety Code §§ 25181 and 25189 and that good cause exists for entry of this Judament.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

JUDGMENT is awarded in favor of Plaintiff and against Aviation on all causes of action, and a civil penalty in the amount of one hundred seventy thousand dollars (\$170,000). which amount is the full amount of civil penalties in this matter. However, Aviation has represented and provided supporting evidence that Aviation does not have the financial resources to pay the full penalty amount in this matter, and has corrected the violations alleged in the Complaint on or about, but no later than, June 19, 2006. Aviation represents that since receipt of the Department's Summary of Violations, Aviation has also invested, and continues to invest, significant funds to comply with the HWCL. In reliance on Aviation's representations and certifications, the Department agrees that, provided that Aviation fully complies with all of the injunctive provisions set forth below and makes all payments specified in the next paragraph of this Judgment in a timely manner, the full civil penalty portion of the Judgment will be satisfied.

Aviation shall pay the Aviation shall pay the Department the sum of seventy-five thousand dollars (\$75,000) in civil penalties in settlement of the Department's claims as follows: (1) thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000) within thirty (30) days of entry of this Judgment; (2) fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000) on or before January 2, 2009; (3) fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000) on or before January 4, 2010; (4) the remaining balance of fifteen thousand dollars (\$15,000) on or before January 3, 2011

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Aviation shall comply with the following provisions:

Training. Aviation shall ensure that all facility personnel, as defined in California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66260.10, receive annual training and review of requirements specified by California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66265,16. In

addition, within six months of entry of this Judgment Aviation shall submit documentation from the California Compliance School that all facility personnel with hazardous waste responsibilities have passed all the tests for the Hazardous Waste Generator Training, Modules I, II, III and IV (Modules 1-IV). Aviation shall arrange for all of Aviation's facility personnel with hazardous waste responsibilities to take these tests within three months of entry of this Judgment. Any employee failing to pass any single test shall attend the California Compliance School, and submit to DTSC a certificate verifying successful completion for Modules I-IV prior to handling hazardous waste, within six months of entry of this Judgment. Effective six months from entry of this Judgment, any employee who has not successfully completed all tests for all four modules shall be prohibited from any hazardous waste responsibilities without first passing all four tests.

B. Sampling of Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets. Aviation will handle all Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets as hazardous waste. Prior to handling any of these blankets in a manner other than as hazardous waste, Aviation shall obtain a hazardous waste analysis for one or more Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets and update its hazardous waste determination appropriately to ensure proper waste classification and handling. The sampling shall be conducted in accordance with California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 66261.24, and Title 22, California Code of Regulations, Division 4.5, chapter 11, Appendix I and Appendix II. At the time of the sampling, if Aviation continues to use chromium-containing paint, at least one of the Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets analyzed shall have been used during chromium-containing paint filtration until such time that the Hazardous Waste Filter Blanket reached saturation and/or required replacement.

<u>C</u>. Log. Aviation shall keep a log of Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets it removes from its paint spray booth used to apply chromium-containing paint. Such log shall indicate the day the blanket was removed and whether a chromium-containing paint was used while the blanket was in place. If a chromium-containing paint was used while the blanket was in place, the log shall also identify the hazardous waste generator storage area to which Aviation took the Hazardous Waste Filter Blankets, as well as the manifest number for the shipment of the waste offsite, and the date of that shipment.

.0121329

1	D. Container Storage Areas. Aviation shall continue to designate one or
2	more areas within its Facility as hazardous waste storage areas in compliance with California
3	Code of Regulations, title 22, § 66264.31. The boundaries of these storage areas shall be clearly
4	delineated, in compliance with California Code of Regulations, title 22, § 66265.35. Aviation
5	shall not place or store any hazardous waste at the Facility anywhere outside these storage areas,
6	as stated in Aviation's contingency plan, and in compliance with California Code of Regulations,
7	title 22, § 66265 .51 (b).
8	E. Inspections. Aviation shall allow the Department or local Certified
9	Unified Program Agency to inspect the Facility at any time during normal business hours
10	without a warrant under Health & Safety Code § 25185 subd. (a). This requirement shall be in
11	effect for a period of three years from the date of entry of this Judgment.
12	The clerk is directed to enter this Judgment immediately.
13	DATED: 6/17/08
14	STEVEN L PERK
15	HON.
16	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
17	
18	
19	Prepared by:
20	
20	
21	EDMUND G. BROWN, JR. Attorney General of the State of California
	of the State of California JANET GAARD,
21	of the State of California JANET GAARD, Chief Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX,
21 22	of the State of California JANET GAARD, Chief Assistant Attorney General
21 22 23	of the State of California JANET GAARD, Chief Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX, Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES R. POTTER, State Bar No. 166992 OLIVIA W. KARLIN, State Bar No. 150432
21 22 23 24	of the State of California JANET GAARD, Chief Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX, Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES R. POTTER, State Bar No. 166992 OLIVIA W. KARLIN, State Bar No. 150432 Deputy Attorneys General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702
21 22 23 24 25	of the State of California JANET GAARD, Chief Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX, Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES R. POTTER, State Bar No. 166992 OLIVIA W. KARLIN, State Bar No. 150432 Deputy Attorneys General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-0473
21 22 23 24 25 26	of the State of California JANET GAARD, Chief Assistant Attorney General KEN ALEX, Senior Assistant Attorney General JAMES R. POTTER, State Bar No. 166992 OLIVIA W. KARLIN, State Bar No. 150432 Deputy Attorneys General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013

JUDGMENT